

First-Order Proof Reconstruction (Research Proposal – 2016)

Andrés Sicard-Ramírez

Seminar of the PhD in Mathematical Engineering
EAFIT University
21 September 2015

Abstract

In a previous research, we proposed a first-order theory for reasoning about functional programs by combining interactive proofs performed in the `Agda` proof assistant and automatic proofs performed by off-the-shelf first-order automatic theorem provers (ATPs). Our approach can be used with other first-order theories too. We have used it with other first-order theories such as Group Theory and Peano Arithmetic, and we had encouraging results. In our approach, we use the ATPs as oracles via a `Haskell` program called `Apia`, that is, we trust the ATPs when they tell us that a proof exists. In consequence, the consistency of our approach relies on the correct implementation of both the `Apia` program and the ATPs. We propose strengthen the consistency of our approach by reconstructing in `Agda` the first-order proofs automatically produced.

Project Information

Team work

- Andrés Sicard-Ramírez (main researcher)
- Juan Fernando Ospina-Giraldo (co-researcher)
- Research assistant (student of the Master in Applied Mathematics)

Project Information

Team work

- Andrés Sicard-Ramírez (main researcher)
- Juan Fernando Ospina-Giraldo (co-researcher)
- Research assistant (student of the Master in Applied Mathematics)

Subject

Formalisation of proofs, verification of functional programs, type theory, proof assistants and automatic theorem provers.

Context of Our Research Problem

- Verification of programs

Context of Our Research Problem

- Verification of programs
- Verification of operational systems

Example: Gerwin Klein et al. [2010]. seL4: Formal Verification of an Operating-system Kernel. Communications of ACM 53.6, pp. 107–115.

Context of Our Research Problem

- Verification of programs
- Verification of operational systems
 - Example: Gerwin Klein et al. [2010]. seL4: Formal Verification of an Operating-system Kernel. Communications of ACM 53.6, pp. 107–115.
- Verification of compilers
 - Example: CompCert Project (2008 - current)
 - Xavier Leroy [2009]. Formal Verification of a Realistic Compiler. Communications of the ACM 52.7, pp. 107–115.

Context of Our Research Problem

- Verification of programs

- Verification of operational systems

Example: Gerwin Klein et al. [2010]. seL4: Formal Verification of an Operating-system Kernel. Communications of ACM 53.6, pp. 107–115.

- Verification of compilers

Example: CompCert Project (2008 - current)

Xavier Leroy [2009]. Formal Verification of a Realistic Compiler. Communications of the ACM 52.7, pp. 107–115.

- Programming logic (a logic in which programs and specifications can be expressed and in which it can be proved or disproved that a certain program meets a certain specification).

Context of Our Research Problem

- Formalisation of proofs / verification of programs

Context of Our Research Problem

- Formalisation of proofs / verification of programs
 - **Proof assistant** (an **interactive** computer system which helps with the development of formal proofs).

Context of Our Research Problem

- Formalisation of proofs / verification of programs
 - Proof assistant (an interactive computer system which helps with the development of formal proofs).
 - Dependent types (a dependent type is a type that depend on a value).
 - Π -types
 $\Pi x : A.B(x)$ is the type of terms f such that, for every $a : A$ then $f a : B(a)$.
 - Σ -types
 $\Sigma x : A.B(x)$ is the type of pairs (m, n) such that $m : A$ and $n : B(m)$.

Context of Our Research Problem

- Interaction with automatic theorem provers (ATPs)

Context of Our Research Problem

- Interaction with automatic theorem provers (ATPs)
 - ATPs for first-order logic
- The TPTP world (<http://www.cs.miami.edu/~tptp/>).

Context of Our Research Problem

- Interaction with automatic theorem provers (ATPs)
 - ATPs for first-order logic
The TPTP world (<http://www.cs.miami.edu/~tptp/>).
 - Satisfiability modulo theories solvers (SMT Solvers)

Context of Our Research Problem

- Interaction with automatic theorem provers (ATPs)
 - ATPs for first-order logic
 - The TPTP world (<http://www.cs.miami.edu/~tptp/>).
 - Satisfiability modulo theories solvers (SMT Solvers)
 - **Apia**
 - A **Haskell** program which:
 - (i) provides a translation of our **Agda** representation of first-order formulae into TPTP languages (**FOF**, **TFF0**) and
 - (ii) calls the ATPs.

Research Problem

Problem

In our approach to the verification of functional programs [Bove, Dybjer, and Sicard-Ramírez 2009, 2012; Sicard-Ramírez 2014], we use the ATPs as **oracles** via the **Apia** program, that is, we trust the ATPs when they tell us that a proof exists.

The consistency of our approach relies on the correct implementation of both the **Apia** program and the ATPs.

We propose **strengthen** the consistency of our approach by **reconstructing** in **Agda** the first-order proofs automatically produced.

Research Problem

Problem

In our approach to the verification of functional programs [Bove, Dybjer, and Sicard-Ramírez 2009, 2012; Sicard-Ramírez 2014], we use the ATPs as **oracles** via the **Apia** program, that is, we trust the ATPs when they tell us that a proof exists.

The consistency of our approach relies on the correct implementation of both the **Apia** program and the ATPs.

We propose **strengthen** the consistency of our approach by **reconstructing** in **Agda** the first-order proofs automatically produced.

Goal

Reconstruct first-order proofs produced by **one** ATP using **Agda** as an logical framework.

State of Art

- We do not know of any existing **first-order** proof reconstruction in the **Agda** proof assistant.

State of Art

- We do not know of any existing **first-order** proof reconstruction in the **Agda** proof assistant.
- **Sledgehammer** provides a full integration of automatic theorem provers including **ATPs for first-order logic** and **SMT solvers** [Blanchette, Böhme, and Paulson 2013] with **Isabelle/HOL**.

State of Art

- We do not know of any existing **first-order** proof reconstruction in the **Agda** proof assistant.
- **Sledgehammer** provides a full integration of automatic theorem provers including **ATPs for first-order logic** and **SMT solvers** [Blanchette, Böhme, and Paulson 2013] with **Isabelle/HOL**.
- Foster and Struth [2011] integrate **Waldmeister** into **Agda**. This integration uses a **proof reconstruction** step. The approach is restricted to pure equational logic—FOL with equality but no other predicate symbols and no functions symbols [Appel 1959].

State of Art

- We do not know of any existing **first-order** proof reconstruction in the **Agda** proof assistant.
- **Sledgehammer** provides a full integration of automatic theorem provers including **ATPs for first-order logic** and **SMT solvers** [Blanchette, Böhme, and Paulson 2013] with **Isabelle/HOL**.
- Foster and Struth [2011] integrate **Waldmeister** into **Agda**. This integration uses a **proof reconstruction** step. The approach is restricted to pure equational logic—FOL with equality but no other predicate symbols and no functions symbols [Appel 1959].
- **SMTCoq** [Armand et al. 2011] is a tool for the **Coq** proof assistant which provides a certified checker for proof witnesses coming from the SMT solver **veriT** and adds a new tactic named **verit**, that calls **veriT** on any **Coq** goal.

State of Art

- We do not know of any existing **first-order** proof reconstruction in the **Agda** proof assistant.
- **Sledgehammer** provides a full integration of automatic theorem provers including **ATPs for first-order logic** and **SMT solvers** [Blanchette, Böhme, and Paulson 2013] with **Isabelle/HOL**.
- Foster and Struth [2011] integrate **Waldmeister** into **Agda**. This integration uses a **proof reconstruction** step. The approach is restricted to pure equational logic—FOL with equality but no other predicate symbols and no functions symbols [Appel 1959].
- **SMTCoq** [Armand et al. 2011] is a tool for the **Coq** proof assistant which provides a certified checker for proof witnesses coming from the SMT solver **veriT** and adds a new tactic named **verit**, that calls **veriT** on any **Coq** goal.
- Given a fixed but arbitrary first-order signature, Bezem, Hendriks, and de Nivelle [2002] transform a proof produced by the first-order ATP **Bliksem** in a **Coq** proof term.

References I

-  Appel, K. I. (1959). Horn Sentences in Identity Theory. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* 24.4, pp. 306–310.
-  Armand, Michael, Germain Faure, Benjamin Grégoire, Chantal Keller, Laurent Théry, and Benjamin Werner (2011). A Modular Integration of SAT/SMT Solvers to Coq through Proof Witnesses. In: *Certified Programs and Proofs (CPP 2011)*. Ed. by Jean-Pierre Jouannaud and Zhong Shao. Vol. 7080. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer, pp. 135–150.
-  Bezem, Marc, Dimitri Hendriks, and Hans de Nivelle (2002). Automated Proof Construction in Type Theory Using Resolution. *Journal of Automated Reasoning* 29, pp. 253–275.
-  Blanchette, Jasmin Christian, Sascha Böhme, and Lawrence C. Paulson (2013). Extending Sledgehammer with SMT Solvers. *Journal of Automated Reasoning* 51.1, pp. 109–128.

References II

-  Bove, Ana, Peter Dybjer, and Andrés Sicard-Ramírez (2009). Embedding a Logical Theory of Constructions in Agda. In: Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on Programming Languages Meets Program Verification (PLPV 2009), pp. 59–66.
-  – (2012). Combining Interactive and Automatic Reasoning in First Order Theories of Functional Programs. In: Foundations of Software Science and Computation Structures (FoSSaCS 2012). Ed. by Lars Birkedal. Vol. 7213. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, pp. 104–118.
-  Foster, Simon and Georg Struth (2011). Integrating an Automated Theorem Prover in Agda. In: NASA Formal Methods (NFM 2011). Ed. by Mihael Bobaru et al. Vol. 6617. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, pp. 116–130.
-  Klein, Gerwin, June Andronick, Kevin Elphinstone, Gernot Heiser, David Cock, Philip Derrin, Dharmika Elkaduve, Kai Engelhardt, Rafal Kolanski, Michael Norrish, Thomas Sewell, Harvey Tuch, and Simon Winwood (2010). seL4: Formal Verification of an Operating-system Kernel. Communications of ACM 53.6, pp. 107–115.

References III

-  Leroy, Xavier (2009). Formal Verification of a Realistic Compiler. *Communications of the ACM* 52.7, pp. 107–115.
-  Sicard-Ramírez, Andrés (2014). Reasoning about Functional Programs by Combining Interactive and Automatic Proofs. No publicada. PhD thesis. PEDECIBA Informática. Universidad de la República. Uruguay.

Thanks!